APPENDIX 1

PROTECTIVE MARKING



TOTAL POLICING

Form 691

Application for the Review of a Premises Licence or Club Premises Certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

- Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form.
- If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that
 your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.
- You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I PC FRANKIE DOWNES 1529NW

apply for the review of a premises licence under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below

Part 1 - Premises	or club premises details				
Postal address of pre	emises or club premises, or if nor	ne, ordnance surve	ey map reference or description:		
	<u> </u>				
120 High Street, Wealdst	one				
_		Post code:			
Post town:	Harrow	(if known)	HA3 7AL		
Name of premises lice	ence holder or club holding club	premises certifica	ate (if known):		
Trumb or promises in	ones menuer or stam manning arms	promisor ssimilar			
Mr Dijeet Singh Kapoor					
Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known):					
Number of premises needed of club premises certificate (if known).					
LN/00008387					

Par	t 2 – Applicant details	
I am	:	
	Pleas	e tick Yes
1	an individual, body or business which is not a responsible authority (please read guidance note 1 and complete (A) or (B) below)	
2	a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)	\bowtie
3	a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete section (A) below)	

(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT (fill in as applicable)										
Mr	r 🗆	Mrs		Miss		Ms		Any other title (e.g. Rev.)		
Surn	name:					First Na	mes:			
I am	18 years	old or over								
Curr	rent posta	l address if	different	from pre	mises ac	ddress:				
Post	t town:					Post co	de:			
Dayt Tel.						Email: (optional)			
						(-)				
(B) I	DETAILS	OF OTHE	R APPLI	CANT (fil	ll in as ap	plicable)				
Nam	ne and Add	lress:								
Tele	phone Nu	mber (if any	y):							
Ema	il address	: (optional)								
(C) I	DETAILS	OF RESP	ONSIBLE	E AUTHO	DRITY A	PPLICAN	IT (fill in a	as applicable)		
Nam	ne and Add	Iress:								
PC F	rannkie DO	WNES - Har	row Police	station – I	Licensing	Team				
Tele	phone Nu	mber (if any	y):							
Ema	ıil address	: (optional)								
This	s applicat	tion to revi	ew relate	es to the	followi			` '		
								one or more boxe	es	
1	The prev	ention of c	rime and	disorder		X				
2	Public sa	ıfety								
3	The prev	ention of p	ublic nuis	sance						
4	4 The protection of children from harm									
Please state the ground(s) for review: (please read guidance note 2)										
On two occasions, during visits to the premises, it has been found that the licence holder has not been promoting the licensing objectives to the required standard - particularly the prevention of crime and disorder.										
During visits by police, the following issues have been found:										
		right to work ne conditions					premises			
1										

Please provide as much information as possible to support the application: (please read guidance note 3)

Wealdstone High Street is a hotspot for anti-social behaviour and crime. I attend regular meetings with partner agencies and the Neighbourhood Policing Teams exploring ways to reduce the crime and asb in the area, and improve the quality of life for the residents and business owners in the area.

Since the start of 2019, the licensing team have been conducting visits at off licences in the area. The aim of the visits is to ensure that staff are complying with their premises licence and to remind them of their responsibilities to promote the licensing objectives. HR Superstore, 120 High Street, Wealdstone, HA3 is just one of the off licences included in these visits.

Thursday 28th February 2019

On Thursday 28th February 2019, the police licensing team had invited the off licences on Wealdstone High Street to a community meeting. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the ways we as police could work with the premises licence holders to combat the issues of street drinking and alcohol related anti-social behaviour in the area. Unfortunately, attendance to the meeting was very poor, so it was decided that we would go to the venues themselves and speak with staff. 120 High Street, Wealdstone, HA3 was the first off licence visited on this occasion and working at the venue were 2 males. Both confirmed they were members of staff who had been working at the store for some time. They confirmed that they got paid in cash and were paid between £5 & £6 per hour. During the inspection we were able to determine, with the assistance from the Home Office contact centre, that neither of the males had a right to work in the UK. Furthermore one of the males had no right to remain in the United Kingdom at all, having been in the country on an expired student Visa, and was arrested at the scene by PC Omar Aurakzai who is a police officer on the neighbourhood policing team for Wealdstone. The details of the second male were passed to Immigration for them to conduct further investigation.

At the time of the visit, the premises licence holder Mr Diject Singh Kapoor was spoken to on the telephone and given a verbal warning by PC Downes as to the seriousness of employing people with no status to work in the UK, and was reminded of his responsibilities as the premises licence holder.

4th April 2019

A joint operation with the licensing team and Immigration was conducted on Wealdstone High Street. The focus of the inspection was to check compliance with immigration legislation after illegal working had been uncovered at some of the premises on the high street, and also to check compliance with the premises licence.

Several breaches of the premises licence were found – these are detailed in a letter sent to the premises licence holder (attached). It was worrying that the staff member on duty, who had only had a few days training, was allowed to run the store on his own. He had only been shown the basics of how to open the store and use the till, and had no knowledge around the conditions on the premises licence. I had concerns when i discovered the fire exit at the back of the store was bolted shut, and the member of staff was unable to fully open the door. I have passed this information to the London Fire Brigade.

Further investigation of the staff member by immigration found him to be in breach of his tier 4 student visa. His visa allowed him to work 20 hours a week only and during questioning, he admitted to working at least 25 hours in the shop that week. Attached are the signed statements from the Immigration officers who interviewed Mr Kapoor and the staff member on the day in question. The male member of staff was arrested under paragraph 17(1) of Schedule 2 of the IAA 1971, and escorted from the store. He was given the option of voluntary deportation to India on the same day.

I spoke with Mr Kapoor on the phone again on this occasion, as he was unable to get to the premises and informed him of the situation. I informed him that a review of his premises licence was likely.

I met with Mr Kapoor on Friday 3rd May 2019 at South Harrow police station to discuss the previous visits, and explain to him my concerns. I reiterated the importance of promoting the licensing objectives and explained that at present, I do not have any confidence that the store is being run to the required standard. He admitted that he knew that the male arrested on 28th February 2019 had no right to be or work in the United Kingdom. He maintains that he did run the appropriate checks on the male arrested on the 4th April 2019 and knew he could only work 20 hours per week – however this is at odds with the interview he gave to the immigration officers where he said he thought his employee could work 25 hours a week. Employing someone with no right to work or who may be in breach of their working restrictions, usually means they are poorly paid in cash, meaning that tax can be evaded and minimum wage laws can be avoided by the employer.

Mr Kapoor is fully aware of my intention to apply for a review of the premises licence and was keen to ensure me that these issues would not arise again. However, as is stated in the Licensing Act 2003 S.182 guidance (paragraph 11.27) 'there is certain criminal activity that that may arise in connection with licensed premises which should be taken particularly seriously'. Among other activities, this includes:

• Employing a person who is disqualified from that work by reason of their immigration status in the UK.

Paragraph 11.28 states:

'Where reviews arise and the licensing authority determines that the crime prevention objective is being undermined through the premises being used to further crimes, it is expected that revocation of the licence – even in the first instance – should be seriously considered.'

There are considerable efforts being made by the police and partner agencies to improve the area of Wealdstone, however this can only be achieved with a buy in from the businesses and residents in the area. By employing illegal workers and not having a good grip of their licensing practices, business owners have a hand in allowing criminal activity and anti-social behaviour to continue.

I therefore respectfully request that consideration is given to the revocation of the premises licence.				

(Please tick yes)

Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before?

	Day	/	Moi	nth	Year		
If yes, please state the date of that application:	0						
If you have made representations before relating to this premises please stande them:	ite w	hat t	hey	were	and w	hen y	ou/

	Please tick \	es/
I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate.]
I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected.	. 🛛	

IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION.

Part 3 – Signatures (please read guidance note 4)									
•	Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent (see guidance note 5). If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.								
Signature:		Date:	13/05/2019						
Capacity:	Licensing Officer - Metropolitan Police								
	ne (where not previously given) and pos (please read guidance note 6)	tal address	for correspondence associated with this						
South Harrow	Police Station, 74 Northolt Road,								
Post town:	Harrow	Post code:	HA2 0DN						
Telephone Number (if any):									
If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address, your e-mail address (optional):									

Notes for Guidance

- 1. A responsible authority includes the local police, fire and rescue authority and other statutory bodies which exercise specific functions in the local area.
- 2. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
- 3. Please list any additional information or details, for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
- 4. The application form must be signed.
- 5. An applicant's agent (for example, solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
- 6. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.

Retention Period: 7 years



Mr Dijeet Singh Kapoor HR Food & Wine 120 High Street Wealdstone HA3 7AL

Licensing Team	_	
	•	
Telephone:		
Email:		
www.met.police.uk		
Your ref:		
Our ref:		
04/04/2019		

Dear Mr Kapoor,

I am writing to you following two recent visits to your premises – HR Food & Wine, 120 High Street, Wealdstone, HA3 7AL.

On Thursday 28th February 2019, I attended your store along with Sergeant Jane Tuffin to conduct a licensing inspection. When we entered the store there were two males working inside – Mr Nirav DALWADI and Mr Bankim PATEL.

During the visit, we had reason to suspect that Mr DALWADI may have no status to work in the UK. This was confirmed by immigration who advised that he was in fact an overstayer and had no right to work. Mr DALWADI was arrested on the request of immigration.

Further checks conducted on the status of Mr PATEL revealed that at the time of our inspection, he had no right to work in the UK. This information was passed to immigration. Both Mr PATEL and Mr DALWADI confirmed that they were employed to work at the premises and were paid £6 & £5 an hour respectively.

On Thursday 4th April 2019, I again attended your premises in company with immigration officers from the Home Office. The intention of the visit was for a full licensing inspection to be conducted and for the immigration status of any employees to be checked.

Upon arrival, there was one male working inside the shop. He identified himself as Mr Nilay Sureshbhai PATEL. He informed us that he had only started working at the store a few days previous and was still on training. Despite that, he had been left in charge of the store for several hours. Upon further questioning with yourself and Mr PATEL, it became apparent that he had been working/training at the premises for more than his permitted 20 hours per week. As a result, Mr PATEL was arrested for being in breach of his working visa and detained by immigration.

On this occasion, I was also able to conduct licensing inspection. The following breaches were identified (all were breaches of annex 2):

Condition 1 & 2: Mr Patel did not know how to operate the CCTV and therefore could not demonstrate that footage was being stored for 31 days. He would not have been able to view or download the footage with immediate effect as per these conditions.

Condition 6: Mr Patel could not provide with any records of staff training

Condition 7: Mr Patel could not provide me with a written authority from the DPS.

Condition 8: Mr Patel gave me a record of incident log book, but the last entry was from 2016.

We spoke on the phone during this visit and you informed me that both the front door and the back door are fire exits. However, the rear exit was blocked by a metal roller shutter which had been padlocked. Clearly this is a potential public safety risk and needs to be rectified with immediate effect.

Unfortunately, I do not believe that this premises is being run responsibly, nor are the licensing objectives being fully promoted. Under the Licensing Act 2003, employing a person who is disqualified from that work by reason of their immigration status in the UK, is taken particularly seriously. At this stage my intention is to submit a review of the premise licence. I will inform you once the review application is complete.

If there is anything you wish to discuss please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

PC Frankie Downes 1529NW Licensing Officer South Harrow Police Station

WITNESS STATEMENT (CJ Act 1967, s.9 MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; MC Rules 1981, r.70)						
Statement of: Maninder						
Age: (if over 18, put "Over 18) Over 18 Occupation: Immigration Officer						
This statement (consisting of two (2) pages)						
signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.						
Signatur Date: 29 th April 2019						
Tick if witness evidence is visually record (supply witness details on rear)						
I am employed by the Home Office as an immigration Officer attached to Eaton House, On Thursday 4th April 2019 upon intelligence we visited HR Food and Wine, 120 High Street, Wealdstone, HA3 7AL. Entry to the premises was gained via informed consent at 11:42 hours. Nilay Sureshbhai Patel was the only person present in the shop standing behind the cashier counter, I presented my Home Office issued warrant card as a identity, Metropolitan police officers were also present during this visit. Nilay Sureshbhai Patel presented his valid Indian passport Residence permit card and Provisional driving licence Nilay Sureshbhai Patel, born on Indian national male. Home office checks revealed he was intially granted a Tier 4 student visa valid from 28/09/2018 till 28/10/18. On 16/02/2019 Nilay extended his student Tier 4 leave till 30/11/2021 allowing him to work 20 hours per week. When initially encountered, Nilay stated he had a valid student visa and he is allowed to work 20						
hours a week. When asked whether Nilay had a payslip to confirm this information, he wasn't able to answer. I then conducted the following interview at 12:01 hours:						
How long have you been working here? 1st of April 2019						
When did you first started working here? 1st of April 2019						
What hours did you do on 1st April? 2pm and I left at 2.45						
When was the second day you worked? 2nd April 2pm started and 15.15 hours						
Did you work any other day? 3rd April 2pm till 4pm Today 4th						
What hours did you start work today? 7am and I was suppose to finish at 11. Harmeet the owner is running late.						
What other days are you suppose to be working here? I don't know yet, he will tell me when I finish after this shift.						
What is your role here? Operating the till, stock filling.						
How much will you get paid? He will pay me after seeing your work, 1st April and 2nd April were free because of training. I will get paid from yesterday 3rd 8.50 an hour.						
So since yesterday you are no longer under training? Yes						
Do you know the likelihood of hours you will be working tomorrow? No						
Signature:						

Continuation of Statement of

B //	20	ın	de	r
IVI	ап		uc	ш

Has the owner discussed how many hours you will be doing once you have the job permanently? 10 Hours a week

What documents did you show the owner before you applied for the job? *My passport, student card*Are you working tomorrow? *I don't know*

Are you likely to work on Friday? No

Are you likely to work on Saturday? No not at all

Another officer has spoken to the owner and he has confirmed that you started working at this shop on 25th March. You were on training and you worked every other day. So from 25th Monday, Wednesday 27th Friday 29th and Sunday 31st the Owner has confirmed that you did 25 hours that week. Is that true? *No obviously not*

Why has the owner said that you worked 25 hours last week? I don't but I was here to see how the shop runs but I wasn't working. I was here learning

Why has the owner told the officer that you worked Monday 1st 2-7. Tuesday 2-7. Today he has started 6am until the owner arrives? I thought he wasn't paying me so I thought I wasn't working. However yes i was working. I understand now it's my fault I was wrong.

So is it fare to say that you have been working at this shop for more then 20 hours a week? Yes

Do you work any where else? Yes I do as a career, Sihara Career agency

What is your duty there and when did you started working there? Taking care of old age people and I started working from 3RD of January.

How much do they pay you? 8.50 an hour

How many hours are you suppose to be working there? I work 9 hours a week. 45 minutes day time and 30 minutes in the evening 7 days a week.

Who is the person who employed you here? Harmeet Is the owner

Did he check on you immigration status to see if you are allowed to work and how many hours? He only asked my 2days ago, he did not ask me when he first gave me the job.

So have you understood all my questions? Yes

Is there anything you want to ask me? No

2003(1)

This interview was concluded at 13:02 hours, Nilay signed this interview log to confirm this interview took place on 4th April 2019.

Based on above, I was satisfied Nilay was working in breach of his leave conditions.

At 13:11 hours I cautioned Nilay and arrested him under paragraph 17 1971 as a person liable to be detained under paragraph 16 (2) of the sar
19:18 NO 2989R*19 IE 19:18 NO 2989R*19 IE

ignature:			d by:	
-----------	--	--	-------	--

		WITNESS STA	ATEME	NT			
Criminal P	rocedure Rules, r 2	7.2: Criminal Justice	Act 1967, s.9:	: Magistra	tes' Court Act	1980, s.5B	
Statement of	Amanjot	***************************************	URN:				
Age if under 1	8 Over 18 V	(if over 18 insert 'over	18') Occupation	on: In	nmigration Office	т	
knowing that,		and signed by me) is truelence, I shall be liable to post true.					
Signature:		••••••	*******	Date:	18 th April 2019	·	
Tick if witness	evidence is visually rec	corded (supply w	tness details o	n rear)			
Officers. Under 120 High Street At 1142 hours working behind encountered this as this individual PATEL contact At 1202 I condition full name as Diperonto. Q. WHAT COMPANIES IN WHAT IS YOU KAUR. Q. HOWER WHAT ON THE WAS ON TO DAY FROM WEEN HERE 2 TRAINING AND IS MOSTLY OWEEK? A. AT WHAT HOURS THURSDAY 6 MIGHT GET HOURS A WE WHAT DAYS BUSINESS AS EACH DAY? AME. Q. WHER NILAY HAS B FOR HIM. THIS AND HOW IS HE IS PAID. Q.	NOD visit reference, Wealdstone, HA3 7A immigration officers eithe till, who we now he individual and had suit was working in breated his manager Harmen acted a full Q and A witeet Singh KAPOOR at AT IS THE NAME OF HOUSE AND VAT NOW POSITION HERE? WE LONG HAVE YOU apployee Nilay Sureshbord THE WAS BEING OR 3 DAYS. WHY DID DID NOT KNOW NOTHE TILL AND ATHE MOMENT HE IS HAS HE WORKED AM SHOULD HAVE IM IN ONE DAY ON EK. Q. WHO GAVE TAND HOURS TO WO SHE HAS JUST GIVA. MYSELF AND THE EARE THE EMPLOY EFILE IS IN THE REIT PAID? A. PAID HO. DO YOU COME TO	On Thursda TS21EYS1375 and Pront AL, following intelligence intered the front of the pro- know to be Nilay Sureshbil absequently arrested PATI ch of his visa conditions, et as he was unable to ans ith the manager over the p ind confirmed he was happ THE BUSINESS? A. HE UMBERS OF THE BUSIN I AM THE MANAGER, I BEEN WORKING HER I THE MEED TO TELL WHEN TO TELL US HE LITTLE ON THE FLOOI DOES NOT HAVE SET S I A. MONDAY 2PM-7, T FINISHED AT 12 BUT I I THE WEEKEND. HE IS I THE EMPLOYEE THIS J DRK? A.I DO AT THE M EN BIRTH, Q. WHO TE E OTHER STAFF WHO A VEE RECORDS? A. I HA VERYTHING BUT I DID CORD IN THE SHOP, Q. DURLY, MINIMUM WA OTHE SHOP EVERY DA LE NOT HERE. THERE A	y 4th February o Reference E that the busine certy and obtainat EL under Para EL under Para wer certain que hone from the by to be intervie E FOOD AND NESS? A. COM MY WIFE IS E? A. 3 YEAR NG HAS THE HIS FIRST DA AS SPEAKIN YOU THIS? A STARTED Q. E. Q. WHAT D HIFTS. 6 HOU UESDAY 2PM AM RUNNIN A STUDENT OB? A. ME AI OMENT AS M LLS THEM W ARE IN THE S VE A RECOR NOT HAVE A IF THE PAYI GE. I BELIEV Y? A. I DO EX	2019 I was V27-14,824 ss were empired fully in 17(1) Scheo estions rega business prewed in Eng WINE LTI MPANIES I THE DIRE IS. The follo EEMPLOY IN HERE? IN TO AVOI	s on duty with Way, we attended Hiploying illegal wo formed consent of the Immediate of the	est London Arro R Food and Wir Property R Food and Food a	esine e 11isis n E N IE K
Signature:		Signature v	vitnessed by:				

2010/11(1) UKBA

	Continuation of Statement of Amanjot
	ABOUT 40 HOURS IN THIS SHOP A WEEK. I COME 6 DAYS A WEEK. Q. WHO PAYS THE EMPLOYEE? A. IT IS GOING TO BE ME, HE HASN'T BEEN PAID YET. HE WILL BE PAID MONTHLY. Q. DO YOU DEDUCT INCOME TAX FROM THE EMPLOYEE'S SALARY? A. PART TIME HE WILL ONLY BE PAYING NI. Q. HOW WILL HE BE PAID? A. BY BANK. Q. WHAT DOCUMENTS DID YOU ASK TO SEE BEFORE OFFERING EMPLOYMENT? A. MY WIFE HAS TAKEN HIS DOCUMENTS FROM HIM. PASSPORT, NI AND STUDENT CARD. SHE CAME IN WHEN HE JOINED. Q. WERE YOU SHOWN ANY ORIGINALS? A. SHE SAW ORIGINALS AND TOOK COPIES. Q. WHEN DID YOU LAST CHECK THE PERSON'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS? A. WHEN HE JOINED. LAST MONDAY WAS HIS FIRST DAY WHEN HE STARTED TRAINING.Q. WAS HE TRAINING ALL WEEK LAST WEEK? A. HE TRAINED FOR 25 HOURS. CAME EVERY SECOND DAY. MONDAY WAS HIS FIRST DAY FOR TRAINING. Q.—AND YOU SAY HE WASN'T PAID FOR TRAINING? A. I BELIEVE HE WAS NOT PAID UNLESS MY WIFE PAID HIM. USUALLY WE DO NOT PAY FOR TRAINING. ————————————————————————————————————
	interview was conducted over the phone, there was no signature at the end of this interview.
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	886

_	
	Signature: Signature witnessed by:

2010/11 (1) UKBA